## **DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

## ORIGINAL

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG 2 6 1998	
FEDERAL COMMUNICATION	
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY	
	GEN Docket No. 98-68

## REPLY COMMENTS OF BELL ATLANTIC1

In streamlining the approval process for foreign-manufactured customer premises equipment ("CPE") to be offered in the United States, as most parties urge, the Commission cannot lose sight of the purpose of its Part 68 registration rules. Those rules are designed to prevent customers of United States telecommunications carriers from receiving degraded service as a result of the attachment of non-compliant CPE to the network. Accordingly, while it is appropriate to allow private laboratories to test equipment and to allow qualified foreign-based Telecommunications Certification Bodies to certify that the equipment complies with the Commission's Rules, the Commission

in of Copies recid\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Bell Atlantic telephone companies ("Bell Atlantic") are Bell Atlantic-Delaware, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Maryland, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Pennsylvania, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Virginia, Inc.; Bell Atlantic-Washington, D.C., Inc.; Bell Atlantic-West Virginia, Inc.; New York Telephone Company; and New England Telephone and Telegraph Company.

nonetheless should retain ultimate oversight authority in order to ensure that the public is protected against service degradation from attachment of non-compliant equipment.

As one party points out, allowing private parties that are authorized by foreign certification bodies to test equipment may introduce partiality into the authorization process. Cisco Systems at 8. Another notes that the proposed standards for accrediting foreign certifying bodies are designed to ensure that they have a comprehensive knowledge of Commission rules but do not require that they demonstrate knowledge of the specific type of equipment that they seek to certify. Metricom at 4. Some of the equipment for advanced services is very complex, however, and requires specialized expertise to determine if it meets the provisions of Part 68. *Id.* at 4-5. Therefore, upon request, the Commission should remain in a position to test equipment that has been certified by foreign certification bodies to ascertain whether it does, in fact, comply with its rules and suspend certification if it fails to meet those provisions.

The Commission should also monitor the foreign bodies' testing processes, much as it routinely monitors the activities of domestic private laboratories today. It should require that they provide the Commission with periodic reports of the equipment before them for certification and the results of the tests that they, or private laboratories acting on their behalf, are conducting. These reports should set out the tests that they have performed and the basis on which the foreign certification body has concluded that the equipment meets (or does not meet) the Commission's rules.

In this way, the Commission can streamline the certification process while retaining ultimate authority to oversee and enforce compliance with its rules.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lawrence W. Katz

Michael E. Glover Of Counsel

1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201 (703) 974-4862

Attorney for the Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies

August 26, 1998

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of August, 1998, a copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments of Bell Atlantic" was sent by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the parties on the attached list.

Jennifer L. Hoh

\* Via hand delivery.

Dale Hatfield\*
Chief, Office of Engineering and
Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW
Room 480
Washington, DC 20554

ITS, Inc.\* 1919 M Street, NW Room 246 Washington, DC 20554

Regina Keeney\*
Chief, International Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW
Room 830
Washington, DC 20554

Kathryn D. Brown\*
Chief, Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

Hugh L. Van Tuyl\*
Office of Engineering & Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW
Room 406
Washington, DC 20554

Julius P. Knapp\*
Office of Engineering & Technology
Federal Communications Commission
2000 M Street, NW
Room 406
Washington, DC 20554